

P.R. No. 926/06
Presented by Shri. V. A. G. V. V. V.
dated 20/2/06

SINGLE BENCH

①

3

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR

CHHATTISGARH

W.P. NO. 870 /2006

PETITIONER

: ✓ Tarun Kumar Meshram, Aged about 49 years,
S/o. Chintaram Meshram, R/o. Sub-Engineer,
Main Road, Village Ranitarai, Durg, Distt.
Durg (CG)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

- : ✓ 1] State of Chhattisgarh,
Through: Secretary, Water Resources
Department, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur
(CG)
- ✓ 2] Engineer-in-Chief,
Water Resources Department, Raipur,
District Raipur (CG)
- ✓ 3] Chief Engineer,
Mahanadi-Godawari Kachhar (Basin),
Raipur, District Raipur (CG)

RECEIVED
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR
20-2-06

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक

W.P. 870/06

सन् 2006

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	<u>2-3-2006</u> Shri Vaibhav Goverdhan, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Satish Gupta, Dy. Govt. Advocate for the State. Heard. By this petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner submits that he is serving as Sub Divisional Officer since last 25 years, but during the period i.e. from 29-7-2002 to 27-7-2003 an adverse entry was made in his confidential report by an officer who was junior to him but was In-charge. Learned counsel submits that the adverse remarks made in the confidential report have not been communicated to the petitioner and the petitioner came to know when he was denied promotion. Learned counsel submits that thereafter the petitioner submitted an application seeking information and it revealed that because of adverse remarks in the confidential report he has not been promoted. Shri Goverdhan, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner submitted representations as per Annexure-P/3 & P/4, but the same have not yet been considered by the authorities concerned. Having considered and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, in the opinion of this Court, ends of justice will be served if a direction is given to the petitioner to make a detailed	

[पीछे देखिये]

25

आदेश पत्रक
WP 870/06

मामला क्रमांक सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	representation afresh raising his grievances before respondent No.1 alongwith a copy of the petition and this order within a period of three weeks from today and on such a representation being filed the same shall be considered and decided by the respondent No.1 on its own merits in accordance with law as early as possible preferably within a period of three months from the date of production of the representation. If required the petitioner ^{or such other person affected} may also be heard. It is made clear that if any grievance still remains, the petitioner may avail the remedy available under the law. With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands disposed of. Consequently, I.A.No.220V/2006 also stands disposed of. Certified copy as per rules.	Sd/- Fakhruddin Judge

Joshi

[पीछे देखिये]

4.3.06